arXiv:1607.08893v2 [cond-mat.supr-con] 10 Oct 2016

Effect of disorder on the competition between nematic and superconducting order in FeSe

V. Mishra[1], and P. J. Hirschfeld[2]
[1] *Computer Science and Mathematics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831 and*
[2] *Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611*

(Dated: October 11, 2016)

Crystalline FeSe is known to display strong nematic order below a weak tetragonal-orthorhombic structural transition around $T_s \sim 90$K, and a superconducting transition at $T_c \sim 9K$. Recently, it was shown that electron irradiation, which creates pointlike potential scattering defects, has the surprising effect of enhancing T_c while suppressing T_s. Here we discuss a possible scenario for such an effect, which postulates a competition between $s_\pm$ superconductivity and nematic order. The transition to the nematic state is modeled by a mean field theory of a d-wave Pomeranchuk instability, together with a Cooper pairing interaction in both one- and multiband models. The effect of nonmagnetic impurities on both orders is treated on equal footing within the Born approximation. We find evidence that disorder can indeed enhance T_c while suppressing the competing nematic order, but only in a multiband situation. We discuss our results in the context of experimental data on FeSe crystals.

I. INTRODUCTION.

Fe-based superconductors (FeSC) present a continuing challenge to the condensed matter physics community eight years after their discovery[1]. Pairing is thought to be electronic in nature, and originate from spin fluctuations, treated theoretically in various limits[2–4]. While many aspects of the superconducting state have been understood, the simplest material, FeSe, has proven to be one of the most elusive. It exhibits a tetragonal to orthorhombic structural phase transition at $T_s \sim 90\,\mathrm{K}$, and displays very strong electronic nematic behavior below this temperature (T), but never orders magnetically as do the more familiar Fe pnictide systems. While its critical temperature $T_c \sim 9\,\mathrm{K}$ is low for this class of materials, a sharp increase of T_c to about $40\,\mathrm{K}$ is observed under a few GPa of pressure, which simultaneously suppresses T_s[5,6]. Various intercalates of FeSe have T_c of roughly this magnitude at ambient pressure as well, and the highest T_c of the entire class of Fe-based superconductors, 70-100 K, is achieved in monolayer films of FeSe grown on $SrTiO_3$ substrates.[7–9] Because of these intriguing hints of intrinsic higher-T_c superconductivity, efforts to understand the properties of the basic bulk FeSe have accelerated recently.

The origin of the nematic order in FeSe has itself been the subject of considerable debate. In general the origin of strong nematic tendencies in the FeSC have been discussed in terms of a competition between fluctuations of structural, orbital, and spin degrees of freedom[10]. At first glance, the lack of long-range magnetic order in the ambient pressure phase diagram seems to suggest that the popular spin nematic scenario[11] might not be appropriate, and that orbital fluctuations might play a more important role[12,13]. However, the confirmation of a long-range magnetic state under a modest pressure[14,15] has lent support to other proposals that suggest that the ground state at ambient pressure may be a quantum paramagnetic state[16,17] or a state with long-range magnetic order of "hidden" quadrupolar type[18,19]. The very small Fermi surfaces in this system may also be important to prevent long-range ordering[20].

Recently, a new experiment by Teknowijoyo et al.[21] measuring T_c and superfluid density ρ_s in FeSe has appeared that may provide an important clue to this puzzle. The authors irradiated their sample with low-energy electrons, known to create homogeneously distributed Frenkel pairs of atomic vacancies and interstitials without doping the system[22]. This seems to be the best way to create pure potential disorder in these systems, where chemical substitutions have proven difficult to interpret[23]. In other Fe-based superconductors[24–26], T_c has been strongly suppressed by disorder of this type, as compared to chemical substitution suppression rates. In FeSe, on the other hand, Teknowijoyo et al.[21] found an *increase* of T_c upon irradiation, and concomitant *decrease* of T_s. While these trends are similar to the effect of hydrostatic pressure, the authors argued first that irradiation tended to increase rather than decrease the lattice volume, and that the magnitudes of the changes in lattice constants were in any case an order of magnitude smaller than in pressure experiments. They speculated that impurities might introduce local pair strengthening effects due to the proximity of FeSe to a magnetic transition[27], or that the competition of superconductivity with nematic order might play a role.

An intriguing paradigm to enhance T_c by disorder for an $s_\pm$ state in the presence of competing stripelike "$(\pi, 0)$" magnetic order was offered by Fernandes et al.[28], who pointed out that both interband and intraband impurity scattering processes would suppress magnetism, while only interband processes would break superconducting pairs. Whether a competition of superconductivity with nematic order can similarly lead to a T_c enhancement is not a priori obvious, given that nematic order is a $q = 0$ distortion of the Fermi surface. In addition, since the gap function in FeSe is known to be highly anisotropic[2], superconductivity will be suppressed

by both types of scattering processes, independent of any sign change over the Fermi surface. From these perspectives, the T_c enhancement mechanism of Ref. 28 should be irrelevant.

In this paper, we provide a concrete framework for the study of the effect of impurities on the competition between nematic and superconducting order. We first assume that that nematic order may be modeled by a d-wave Pomeranchuk instability competing with superconductivity. Such an instability has been argued to qualitatively describe the nematic tendencies of both cuprate[29] and Fe-based superconductors[30,31]. We show here that the nematic order (Pomeranchuk instability) temperature, which we identify with T_s, is suppressed naturally by disorder, as found by earlier authors[32]. In addition, however, we find that T_c can actually be enhanced when the nematic order is weakened. Whether T_c is enhanced or suppressed by disorder turns out to depend in a nontrivial way on the interplay of the anisotropy of the superconducting pairing interaction with that of the nematic distortion of the Fermi surface.

We now introduce the mean field treatment of a d-wave Pomeranchuk distortion, first within a single band model for pedagogical purposes, and then generalized to multiband Fermi surfaces appropriate to the FeSC. Disorder is then treated in the Born approximation, and the instability temperatures of both nematic and superconducting transitions calculated, for the different model Fermi surfaces, and for different types of anisotropic s-wave superconductivity. We present full phase diagrams of superconductivity and nematic order as functions of disorder and temperature, and discuss the comparison with experiment.

II. 1-BAND MODEL

A. Interplay of superconducting and nematic order

We wish to work with the simplest possible model capturing the competition of superconductivity and nematic order, and allowing for the introduction of the effects of nonmagnetic disorder. We begin therefore with a Hamiltonian describing fermions with an interaction which leads to a Pomeranchuk instability[29], $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_{int}$, where $\mathcal{H}_0$ describes a noninteracting parabolic band of electrons, $\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(k^2/(2m) - \mu)c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$, and

$$\mathcal{H}_{int} = \frac{V^{nem}}{4}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'\sigma\sigma'}(d_{\mathbf{k}}d_{\mathbf{k}'})\,c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'}c_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} \simeq \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}, \quad (1)$$

where μ is the chemical potential, $d_{\mathbf{k}} = \cos 2\phi$, and ϕ is the angle around the Fermi surface. In the second line we have made a mean field approximation[29] and defined $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \Phi_0 d_{\mathbf{k}} = d_{\mathbf{k}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma}\langle d_{\mathbf{k}'}c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma}d_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma}\rangle$, which leads to a self-consistency equation for the nematic order parameter

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} = -Td_{\mathbf{k}}\sum_{\omega_n,\mathbf{k}'}\frac{V^{nem}d_{\mathbf{k}'}\,(i\omega_n - \xi_{\mathbf{k}'} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k}'})}{\omega_n^2 + (\xi_{\mathbf{k}'} + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}'})^2}. \quad (2)$$

The nematic transition temperature when $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} \to 0$, T_{nem}, is then given by

$$T_{nem} = \frac{\mu}{2\tanh^{-1}\left(4\lambda_{nem}^{-1}\right)}, \quad (3)$$

where $\lambda_{nem} = mV^{nem}/2\pi$. It is worth noting that the nematic instability is of the Stoner type: unless V^{nem} is larger than a threshold value, long range nematic order is not possible.



Figure 1. Schematic Fermi surface at T=T_{nem} (dashed black curve) and $T = T_{nem}/2$ (solid black), with momentum angle ϕ indicated. Superconducting gap with anisotropy given by $r > 0$ (blue) and same with $r < 0$ (red).

Next, we study superconductivity in the nematic phase, and show that the interplay of nematicity and superconductivity depends sensitively on the structure of the superconducting pairing. We consider a simple model of a C_2-symmetric gap, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta_0(1 + r\cos 2\phi)/\sqrt{1 + r^2/2} \equiv \Delta_0\mathcal{Y}(\phi)$, where $\mathcal{Y}(\phi)$ is normalized to 1 over the high temperature Fermi surface.

To study the interplay of such states with nematic order, we introduce the anisotropic pair potential $V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} = V^{sc}\mathcal{Y}(\phi)\mathcal{Y}(\phi')$ on the Fermi surface, and for the moment assume it to be independent of nematic changes in the Fermi surface itself. The linearized gap equation in the presence of nematic order, $\Phi_0 > 0$ may then be expressed as

$$1 = 2\pi T_{c0}\lambda_{sc}\sum_{\omega_n>0}^{\omega_c}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\phi}{2\pi}\mathcal{Y}^2(\phi)\mathbf{P}(\phi,\omega_n), \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{P}(\phi,\omega_n) = \frac{1}{\omega_n}\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi}\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu - \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_n}\right)\right]. \quad (5)$$

Here the pair bubble $\mathbf{P}(\phi, \omega_n)$ makes the maximum contribution along the long direction ($\phi = \pi/2$) of the deformed Fermi surface. If the minimum of the absolute value of gap function is also along this direction (*i.e.* $r > 0$), then the deformed Fermi surface will suppress such gap states.

We can approximate the effect of nematic order on T_c in the limit of weak deformation of the Fermi surface ($\Phi_0 \ll \mu$),

$$\log\left(\frac{T_{c0}^{nem}}{T_{c0}}\right) \simeq -\frac{\pi r}{2+r^2}\left(\frac{\Phi_0}{2\mu}\right), \qquad (6)$$

here T_{c0}^{nem} (T_{c0}) is the superconducting transition temperature in the nematic (tetragonal) phase with no disorder. As expected from the structure of $\mathbf{P}$, the critical temperature T_c depends on the structure of the pairing through the model anisotropy parameter r, and can apparently increase or decrease depending on how the anisotropy is oriented with respect to the distorted C_2 Fermi surface. When the Fermi surface gets stretched along the direction of gap minima ($r > 0$, Fig. 1) T_c is suppressed. In contrast, gap minima oriented perpendicularly to the stretched Fermi surface ($r < 0$, Fig. 1) lead to an increase of T_c, since $\mathbf{P}(\phi)$ and $\Delta(\phi)$ have maxima on the same regions of the Fermi surface. This is similar to superconductivity in the presence of spin-density wave order, where gap maxima away from the nesting hot spots is favorable[33].

We note the important role of particle-hole asymmetry for this problem. As seen explicitly from Eq. (5), in the limit of perfect particle-hole symmetry ($\mu \to \infty$), the coupling between superconducting and nematic order vanishes. Furthermore, the sign of the nematic distortion depends on the sign of μ: thus if one replaces the electron band assumed above with a hole band, the effect will be identical, except that T_c will now be suppressed for $r < 0$. The relative orientation of the gap states described above remains unchanged, however: T_c is suppressed relative to T_{c0} if gap minima are along the stretched direction.

These discussions assume that the nematic/superconducting coexistence solutions discussed above are ground states for the given parameters. However, an examination of the free energy (see Appendix) shows that those superconducting states with gap minima along the nematic elongation of the Fermi surface are higher energy than the homogeneous nematic state, and are therefore unstable, as illustrated in Fig. 2.



Figure 2. Competition of superconductivity (SC) and nematic order (NM). Total free energy relative to normal metallic state $\Delta\mathcal{F}$ vs. T for pure nematic state and two cases of superconducting and nematic coexistence, with superconducting anisotropy $r = \pm 0.7$. Insert: $\Delta\mathcal{F}$ at $T = 0.005\mu$ as a function of superconducting anisotropy parameter r. Gap structures (red) are shown with respect to the deformed Fermi surface (black).



Figure 3. Nematic transition temperature as a function of disorder scattering rate (circles) $\Gamma = n_{imp}V_{imp}^2(m/2\pi)$, along with T_c in the nematic phase for two different orientation of the gap function (triangles), normalized to chemical potential μ. Variation of T_c with disorder in the absence of the nematic order is shown by a dashed line.

B. Effect of disorder

We first determine the nematic order parameter in the presence of nonmagnetic disorder above T_c by defining a self-energy within the Born approximation,

$$\Sigma_{nem}(\omega_n) = n_{imp}|V_{imp}|^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} G(\mathbf{k}', \omega_n), \qquad (7)$$

where n_{imp} is the concentration of impurities with potential V_{imp}, and $G(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = (i\tilde{\omega} - \xi_{\mathbf{k}} - \Phi_k + i0^+)^{-1}$ at T_{nem}, and $i\tilde{\omega} = i\omega - \Sigma_{nem}(\omega)$. This renormalizes the single-particle energy and influences the nematic order through its self-consistency equation. Due to the d-wave Pomeranchuk form, the $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ itself is not renormalized in this approximation.

To study superconductivity within the same framework, we introduce the disorder self-energy in Nambu space in the same approximation,

$$\hat{\Sigma}(\omega_n) = n_{imp}|V_{imp}|^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \hat{G}(\mathbf{k}', \omega_n) \equiv \sum_{\alpha=0,3} \Sigma_\alpha \tau_\alpha, \quad (8)$$

where $\hat{G}$ is the Nambu Green's function

$$\hat{G}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n) = -\frac{i\tilde{\omega}_n \tau_0 + (\xi_{\mathbf{k}} + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}})\tau_3 + \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}} \tau_1}{\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + (\xi_{\mathbf{k}} + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}})^2 + \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}}^2}. \quad (9)$$

Here the renormalized order parameter has the form $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \tilde{\Delta}_{iso} + \Delta_{ani} d_{\mathbf{k}}$, and we have defined

$$i\tilde{\omega}_n = i\omega_n - \Sigma_0(\omega_n), \quad (10)$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{iso} = \Delta_0 / \sqrt{1 + r^2/2} + \Sigma_1(\omega_n), \quad (11)$$

$$\Delta_{ani} = \Delta_0 r / \sqrt{1 + r^2/2}. \quad (12)$$

Note that the nematic order parameter $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ and anisotropic gap component Δ_{ani} are unrenormalized by disorder.

At T_c, the self-consistency expressions for the amplitude of the d-wave nematic order parameter Φ_0 and the superconducting order parameter Δ_0 are given by

$$\Phi_0 = -T \sum_{\omega_n, \mathbf{k}} \frac{V^{nem} d_{\mathbf{k}} \, (i\tilde{\omega}_n - \xi_{\mathbf{k}} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k}})}{\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + (\xi_{\mathbf{k}} + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}})^2}, \quad (13)$$

$$\Delta_0 = -T \sum_{\omega_n, \mathbf{k}} \frac{V^{sc} \mathcal{Y}(\phi) \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}}}{\tilde{\omega}^2 + (\xi_{\mathbf{k}} + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}})^2} \quad (14)$$

Fig. 3 now shows the result of a numerical evaluation of Eqs. (13)-(14). As expected, disorder gradually suppresses the nematic order as also found in Ref. 32. From the inset in Fig. 2, we can anticipate that the $r > 0$ case, which shows no competition, should lead to a T_c suppression with disorder, as indeed shown in Fig. 3. For a small suppression of T_{nem}, T_c appears to increase when $r > 0$, i.e. when the gap minima are along the elongated direction of Fermi surface. However, as shown in Fig. 2, such a pairing state is a metastable state. Thus disorder-induced T_c enhancement appears to be disfavored in this one band model.

III. THREE-BAND MODEL

We now investigate whether the basic notions which govern the effect of disorder on T_c, T_{nem} in the one-band case continue to hold in a somewhat more realistic multiband framework appropriate for the FeSe system that motivated this study, and ask whether a disorder-driven T_c enhancement is possible as a consequence of multiband physics. Unlike its monolayer and intercalate cousins, bulk FeSe has well-established Fermi surface hole pockets at Γ and electron pockets at X and Y, as modeled in Fig. 4 (a) in the 1-Fe zone. Although many phenomenological assumptions for the forms of the interactions on these three bands are possible, we assume for simplicity the same Pomeranchuk harmonic for the nematic instability on all bands, leading to the distorted Fermi surface pockets also plotted in the figure. We further adopt various simple forms of the BCS pairing interaction on all three bands, including pairing anisotropy on one band or the other, as also illustrated in Fig. 4 (b)-(f). Because we operate without a microscopic theory, we have considerable freedom to choose gap structures consistent with C_2 symmetry in the nematic phase. We therefore consider anisotropy on the hole and the electron pockets independently, although in reality all will distort simultaneously. Note that all order parameters on all bands are determined self-consistently. Our goal is simply to demonstrate that a T_c enhancement with disorder due to competition with nematic order is possible, and deduce what qualitative conclusions we can from that novel situation.



Figure 4. (a) Fermi surfaces for the three band model shown in the paramagnetic phase (dashed line) and in the nematic phase (solid line) using the 1-Fe zone representation. (b) isotropic pair states on all bands with opposite signs (illustrated with red and green); (c) isotropic electronic band gaps and hole band gap $\sim 1 + r_h \cos 2\phi$, $r_h > 0$; (d) same but with $r_h < 0$; (e) isotropic hole band gap and electron band $i = e1, e2$ gaps $\sim 1 + r_e \cos 2\phi$ with $r_e > 0$; (f) with $r_e < 0$.

A. Electronic structure and pairing interaction

The Hamiltonian for this three band model is

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},i=h,e_1,e_2,\sigma} \Big[(\xi_{\mathbf{k}i} + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}i}) c^\dagger_{i,\mathbf{k},\sigma} c_{i,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{k}i} c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k},i,\sigma} c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{k},i,-\sigma} + h.c. \right) \Big], \quad (15)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (16)$$

where $c^\dagger_{i,\mathbf{k},\sigma}(c_{i,\mathbf{k},\sigma})$ creates (annihilates) a fermion with momentum $\mathbf{k}$ and spin σ in the i^{th} band. Ψ is an extended Nambu basis for three bands, $\Psi = (c_{-\mathbf{k},e1\downarrow}, c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k},e1\uparrow}, c_{-\mathbf{k},e2\downarrow}, c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k},e2\uparrow}, c_{-\mathbf{k},h\downarrow}, c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k},h\uparrow})$. The fermionic dispersions in the C_4 symmetric phase are,

$$\xi_h = \mu_h - \frac{k^2}{2m} \quad (17)$$

$$\xi_{e1} = \frac{k_x^2}{2m(1+\varepsilon)} + \frac{k_y^2}{2m(1-\varepsilon)} - \mu_e \quad (18)$$

$$\xi_{e2} = \frac{k_x^2}{2m(1-\varepsilon)} + \frac{k_y^2}{2m(1+\varepsilon)} - \mu_e. \quad (19)$$

We assume a circular hole pocket and slightly elliptic electron pockets with $\varepsilon = 0.6$.

The nematic and superconducting order parameters are taken to be $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}i} = \Phi_i d_{\mathbf{k}i}\ \forall i$, and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}i} = \Delta_i \mathcal{Y}_i(\phi_i)$, respectively. The multiband self-consistency equations for the nematic and SC orders analogous to Eqs. (13)-(14) are then obtained as

$$\Phi_i = -T \sum_{\omega_n,j,\mathbf{k}_j} \frac{V^{nem}_{ij} d_{\mathbf{k}_j} \left(i\omega_n - \xi_{\mathbf{k}_j} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_j} \right)}{\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + \left(\xi_{\mathbf{k}_j} + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_j} d_{\mathbf{k}_j} \right)^2}, \quad (20)$$

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_i} = -T \sum_{\omega_n,j,\mathbf{k}_j} \frac{V^{sc} \mathcal{Y}(\phi_i) \mathcal{Y}(\phi_j) \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j}}{\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + \left(\xi_{\mathbf{k}_j} + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_j} d_{\mathbf{k}_j} \right)^2}.$$

Here ω_n is the fermionic Matsubara frequency at temperature T, and $\mathcal{Y}_i = (1 + r_i \cos 2\phi_i)/\sqrt{1 + r_i^2/2}$, where the parameter r_i controls the anisotropy of the SC order.

Note that while ϕ is always measured with respect to the positive x axis at each pocket, r_{e_i} will now be assumed to have same sign on pockets e_1 and e_2, such that an overall C_2 state is realized. Two possible such choices are illustrated in Fig. 4 (e)-(f).

We use a separable form of interactions for the nematic ($V^{nem}_{ij} d_{\mathbf{k}_i} d_{\mathbf{k}'_j}$) and the SC order ($V^{sc}_{ij} \mathcal{Y}_i(\phi_i) Y_j(\phi'_j)$), where summation over the repeated indices is implied. In Eq. (21), disorder-renormalized quantities are given as multiband generalizations of Eqs. (21)-(23),

$$i\tilde{\omega}_i(\omega_n) = i\omega_n - \Sigma_{0i}(\omega_n), \quad (21)$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_i^{iso} = \Delta_i^0 / \sqrt{1 + r_i^2/2} + \Sigma_{1i}(\omega_n), \quad (22)$$

$$\Delta_i^{ani} = \Delta_i^0 r_i / \sqrt{1 + r_i^2/2}. \quad (23)$$

Note that the Nambu components of the disorder self-energy

$$\Sigma_{0i}(\omega_n) = n_{imp} \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} |u_{ij}|^2 G_{0j}(\mathbf{k}_j, \omega_n) \quad (24)$$

$$\Sigma_{1i}(\omega_n) = -n_{imp} \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} |u_{ij}|^2 G_{1j}(\mathbf{k}_j, \omega_n), \quad (25)$$

involve both intra- and interband scattering processes via the impurity scattering potential matrix in the band basis u_{ij}, which is taken to have only two elements: v for intra- and u for interband scattering, for all band components, with $\eta \equiv u/v$. Here $G_{0(1)i}$ is the $\tau_{0(1)}$ component of the i^{th} band's Nambu Green's function and Δ_i^{iso} is the isotropic component of the gap function for i^{th} band. Note that we ignore the τ_3 component of the impurity self-energy, which mainly renormalizes the chemical potential.

It is now relatively easy to arrange for nematic order and superconductivity to compete, without fine tuning of the interactions. Bulk FeSe itself is known to have an order parameter that is highly anisotropic, with nodes or near-nodes somewhere on the Fermi surface[2]. We therefore focus particularly on cases with large gap anisotropy, either on the hole pocket (Fig. 4(c)-(d)) or on the electron pockets (Fig. 4(e)-(f)).

Note we only consider $s_\pm$ type pairing states, with overall sign change between electron and hole pockets. However, our conclusions are mostly qualitatively valid for s_{++} states as well, since minimal pairbreaking is required to obtain a T_c enhancement in an $s_\pm$ state, and we therefore are forced to assume relatively weak interband scattering, such that the sign difference does not play an essential role.

B. Results

We first focus on the effect of disorder on the pure nematic state. Both interband and intraband scattering suppress the nematic order in the current model, as shown in the inset to Fig. 5, which displays the variation of T_{nem} with increasing impurity concentration. As the interband scattering increases, nematicity goes down rapidly; since interband scattering connects Fermi surfaces with different signs of $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$, this is equivalent to the effect seen for the one-band case in Ref. 32.

The relative effects of interband impurity scattering obviously depends now on the gap structure, i.e. whether a sign changing state is realized. Evidence for sign changing gap behavior in this system is limited, and reviewed in Ref. 2. The most significant is probably the recent experiment by Wang *et. al.*[34], who observed a $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, 0)$ low-energy inelastic neutron scattering resonance very similar to that generally taken as strong evidence for the $s_\pm$ state in other Fe-based superconductors[35]. Earlier, STM[36] and some thermal conductivity and penetration depth measurements[37] had reported a gap with nodes.



Figure 5. Suppression of nematic order by disorder in 3-band model.



Figure 6. Results for 3-band model with anisotropy on hole band only, $\Delta_h \sim (1 + r_h \cos 2\phi)$. (a) Free energy of nematic superconductor relative to pure nematic state vs. r_h. (b) Order parameters in pure state as function of T for isotropic state. (c) T_c normalized to T_{c0} for pure system vs. anisotropy parameter r_h for two different disorder concentrations, corresponding to suppressions of T_{nem} by 5 and 10%. Blue shaded region in panels (a) and (c) indicates region of thermodynamic stability of coexistence phase. (d) T_c/T_{c0} vs. disorder scattering rate Γ for isotropic $s_\pm$ gap for inter/intraband scattering potential ratio $\eta = u/v$=0.,0.1.

More recently, and possibly on slightly different samples, a gap with very deep minima has been suggested to be consistent with STM[38], penetration depth[21,39], and low temperature thermal conductivity measurements[40] as well. For our purposes, such tiny differences in gap structure are probably irrelevant.

The superconducting transition temperature T_c in the presence of disorder and nematicity is now determined by solving the multiband linearized gap equation, Eq. ((21)), which contains the nematic order parameter $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}i}$, itself determined by Eq. 20. We first examine the simplest case, $r_i = 0$ on all bands, i.e. an isotropic gap in the presence of the nematically distorted Fermi surfaces. The isotropic state in the presence of a single nematic pocket was not a stable solution for the nematic distortion chosen. However, in the presence of the electron pockets and an interband interaction, an isotropic state in the presence of nematic order can be lower in energy than the pure nematic one, as seen in Fig. 6 (a). The competition of the two order parameters is shown explicitly in Fig. 6(b) and in fact is seen to lead to a modest T_c enhancement with disorder as seen in Fig. 6 (c) and (d). This effect may now be enhanced somewhat by considering nonzero r_h. Note that these effects, while roughly consistent in magnitude with the T_c enhancement effect seen in experiment, will be suppressed in an $s_\pm$ state by any additional source of pairbreaking, e.g. as when the interband scattering rate is increased (Fig. 6 (d)).

Note that within the current model the ability of the hole band gap anisotropy to enhance T_c further is limited by the narrow range of stability of this state (see Fig. 6 (a)-(c)). It is interesting therefore to explore the role of gap anisotropy on the electron pockets, which we illustrate in Fig. 7. In panels (a) and (c), the range of stability of a state which enhances T_c with disorder is found to be much wider than in the hole pocket case. In addition, this range is asymmetric with respect to the anisotropy parameter r_e, indicating that it is more likely to observe T_c enhancement if $r_e > 0$, i.e. if the gap minima on the most nematically distorted pockets are aligned with the pocket elongation axis (Fig. 4).

IV. DISCUSSION

The motivation for this study has been a recent low-energy electron irradiation experiment by Teknowijoyo *et. al.*[21], which found a surprising enhancement of T_c with increasing disorder in FeSe, a system with no long-range magnetic order but strong nematic order below the structural transition. It is worth noting that until now, all other Fe-based superconductors similarly irradiated have had their critical temperatures strongly suppressed by this type of disorder, which should create nearly ideal pointlike potential scatterers. This striking result raises several questions about the interplay of superconductivity and nematicity, and may provide an important clue to the physics of the mysterious FeSe material.

The experimental situation with regard to competition of superconductivity and nematic order, required for our scenario, is currently unclear. In several situations, including hydrostatic pressure[5,6] and doping by sulfur or field gating[41,42], T_s decreases while T_c increases, implying competition of the two orders. However, Böhmer et al. reported seeing no direct effect of the onset of superconductivity on the orthorhombic order parameter in FeSe crystals[12], and recently, Wang et al.[43] presented



Figure 7. Results for 3-band model with anisotropy on electron band only, $\Delta_h \sim (1 + r_e \cos 2\phi)$. (a) Free energy of nematic superconductor relative to pure nematic state vs. r_e. (b) Order parameter (green, red line) for $r_h = 0$, $r_e = 0.5$ plotted over nematically distorted Fermi surface. (c) T_c normalized to T_{c0} for pure system vs. anisotropy parameter r_e for two different disorder concentrations, corresponding to suppressions of T_{nem} by 5 and 10%. Blue shaded region in panels (a) and (c) indicates region of thermodynamic stability of coexistence phase. (d) T_c/T_{c0} vs. disorder scattering rate Γ for $r_e = 0.5$ $s_\pm$ gap for inter/intraband scattering potential ratio $\eta = u/v$=0.,0.1.

clear evidence that the orthorhombic distortion was *enhanced* by the occurrence of superconductivity in S-doped FeSe crystals. This suggests that the interplay between the two orders may be more subtle than assumed here. If our approach is qualitatively correct, however, it implies that disorder-induced T_c enhancement of the type reported in Ref. 21 and discussed here should disappear in the S-doped systems.

Clearly one aspect of the simple model presented here is unphysical, namely that the pairing interaction assumed is "rigid', in the sense that as the Fermi surface deformed as T_c is lowered, our model does not capture the concomitant evolution of the *pairing interaction* before T_c is reached (it does treat the anisotropy of the *gap* in the presence of a distorted Fermi surface self-consistently). It seems therefore possible that our model overestimates competition of superconductivity, and nematicity and therefore artificially favors T_c enhancement when nematicity is suppressed. A more complete microscopic treatment of the effect of nematic order on pairing is outside the scope of present manuscript and will be treated elsewhere.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have studied the interplay between nematicity and superconductivity for a system with one band and three bands, modeling the the nematic instability with a mean field treatment of a d-wave Pomeranchuk transition. We have shown that in several physically plausible circumstances, nematic order competes with superconductivity, and may allow T_c to rise, as observed in Ref. 21, when disorder suppresses nematicity. Indeed, such an enhancement appears rather natural in the presence of sufficiently strong nematicity. We note that the effect is qualitatively different from a T_c enhancement resulting from the competition between superconductivity and antiferromagnetism discussed by earlier authors.

We have further discussed how the T_c enhancement effect is sensitive to the degree and orientation of the gap anisotropy with respect to the deformed Fermi surface. In particular, we showed that superconducting gaps with minima along the stretched axis of the deformed Fermi surface are easily suppressed by nematic order. Upon introduction of disorder, which rapidly destroys nematic order, such states show significant T_c enhancement. In the one-band case, these states do not appear to be thermodynamically stable, but they are stabilized by the addition of additional pockets, as in the three-band model studied here. In contrast, SC states with gap maxima along the stretched direction of Fermi surface, do not compete strongly with nematic order, so T_c of an $s_\pm$ state is suppressed as usual by disorder.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to dedicate this paper to Florence Rullier- Albenque, whose pioneering studies of electron irradiation disorder in cor- related electron systems inspired them. The authors are grateful for useful discussions with B M Andersen, M H Christensen, I Paul and R Prozorov. PJH was supported by NSF-DMR-1407502. VM was supported by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program of Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U. S. Department of Energy.

Appendix A: Free Energy

Here we calculate the free energy of our system including mean field treatments of nematic and superconducting order. In general, the free energy is given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle - T\mathbf{S} \tag{A1}$$

where the entropy $\mathbf{S}$ is

$$\mathbf{S} = -2\sum_k \left[f(E_k) \log E_k + (1 - f(E_k)) \log(1 - f(E_k)) \right]. \tag{A2}$$

Here $E_k = \sqrt{(\xi_k + \Phi_k)^2 + \Delta_k^2}$, f is the Fermi function. The first term in Eq. (A1) is the expectation value of the

mean field Hamiltonian, which can be evaluated using coherence factors

$$u_k^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left[1 + \frac{\xi_k + \Phi_k}{E_k}\right] \tag{A3}$$

$$v_k^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left[1 - \frac{\xi_k + \Phi_k}{E_k}\right]. \tag{A4}$$

For the one band case, the expectation value of the kinetic energy may be written as,

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{kin} \rangle = \langle \sum_{k,\sigma} \tilde{\xi}_k c^\dagger_{k,\sigma} c_{k,\sigma} \rangle \tag{A5}$$

$$= \sum_{k,\sigma} \left(1 - \tanh\left[\frac{E_k}{2T}\right] \frac{\tilde{\xi}_k}{E_k}\right) \tilde{\xi}_k, \tag{A6}$$

where $\tilde{\xi_k} = \xi_k + \Phi_k$. The potential energy term for the one band case is

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{pot} \rangle = -\frac{\Phi_0^2}{V_{nem}} - \frac{\Delta_0^2}{|V_{sc}|}, \tag{A7}$$

and for three bands,

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{pot} \rangle = -\frac{2\phi_h \left(\Phi_{e1} + \Phi_{e2}\right)}{V_{nem}} + \frac{\Delta_h \left(\Delta_{e1} + \Delta_{e2}\right)}{|V_{sc}|}, \tag{A8}$$

Here we have assumed only interband interactions for both orders.

Note that expressions for $\mathcal{F}$ in both one- and three-band cases manifestly reduce to the correct expressions in either the pure nematic or superconducting states.

[1] A. Chubukov and P. J. Hirschfeld, Physics Today **68**, 46 (2015).
[2] P. J. Hirschfeld, Comptes Rendus Physique **17**, 197 (2016).
[3] A. V. Chubukov (2015) pp. 255–329.
[4] Q. Si, R. Yu, and E. Abrahams, Nature Reviews Materials **1**, 16017 (2016).
[5] S. Medvedev, T. M. McQueen, I. A. Troyan, T. Palasyuk, M. I. Eremets, R. J. Cava, S. Naghavi, F. Casper, V. Ksenofontov, G. Wortmann, and C. Felser, Nature Materials **8**, 630 (2009).
[6] K. Kothapalli, A. E. Böhmer, W. T. Jayasekara, B. G. Ueland, P. Das, A. Sapkota, V. Taufour, Y. Xiao, E. E. Alp, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, A. Kreyssig, and A. I. Goldman, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1603.04135 [cond-mat.supr-con].
[7] W. Qing-Yan, L. Zhi, Z. Wen-Hao, Z. Zuo-Cheng, Z. Jin-Song, L. Wei, D. Hao, O. Yun-Bo, D. Peng, C. Kai, W. Jing, S. Can-Li, H. Ke, J. Jin-Feng, J. Shuai-Hua, W. Ya-Yu, W. Li-Li, C. Xi, M. Xu-Cun, and X. Qi-Kun, Chinese Physics Letters **29**, 037402 (2012).
[8] S. Tan, Y. Zhang, M. Xia, Z. Ye, F. Chen, X. Xie, R. Peng, D. Xu, Q. Fan, H. Xu, J. Jiang, T. Zhang, X. Lai, T. Xiang, J. Hu, B. Xie, and D. Feng, Nature Materials **12**, 634 (2013).
[9] J.-F. Ge, Z.-L. Liu, C. Liu, C.-L. Gao, D. Qian, Q.-K. Xue, Y. Liu, and J.-F. Jia, Nature Materials **14**, 285 (2015).
[10] A. V. Chubukov, M. Khodas, and R. M. Fernandes, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1602.05503.
[11] M. H. Christensen, J. Kang, B. M. Andersen, and R. M. Fernandes, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 085136 (2016).
[12] A. E. Böhmer, F. Hardy, F. Eilers, D. Ernst, P. Adelmann, P. Schweiss, T. Wolf, and C. Meingast, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 180505 (2013).
[13] Y. Yamakawa, S. Onari, and H. Kontani, Phys. Rev. X **6**, 021032 (2016).
[14] M. Bendele, A. Ichsanow, Y. Pashkevich, L. Keller, T. Strässle, A. Gusev, E. Pomjakushina, K. Conder, R. Khasanov, and H. Keller, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 064517 (2012).
[15] T. Terashima, N. Kikugawa, S. Kasahara, T. Watashige, T. Shibauchi, Y. Matsuda, T. Wolf, A. E. Böhmer, F. Hardy, C. Meingast, H. v. Löhneysen, and S. Uji, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **84**, 063701 (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.84.063701.
[16] F. Wang, S. A. Kivelson, and D.-H. Lee, Nature Physics **11**, 959 (2015).
[17] J. K. Glasbrenner, I. I. Mazin, H. O. Jeschke, P. J. Hirschfeld, R. M. Fernandes, and R. Valentí, Nature Physics **11**, 953 (2015).
[18] R. Yu and Q. Si, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 116401 (2015).
[19] Z. Wang, W.-J. Hu, and A. H. Nevidomskyy, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1603.01596.
[20] A. V. Chubukov, R. M. Fernandes, and J. Schmalian, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 201105 (2015).
[21] S. Teknowijoyo, K. Cho, M. A. Tanatar, J. Gonzales, A. E. Böhmer, O. Cavani, V. Mishra, P. J. Hirschfeld, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, and R. Prozorov, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1605.04170.
[22] C. Jacominus Van Der Beek, S. Demirdis, D. Colson, F. Rullier-Albenque, Y. Fasano, T. Shibauchi, Y. Matsuda, S. Kasahara, P. Gierlowski, and M. Konczykowski, ArXiv e-prints (2012), arXiv:1209.3586.
[23] Y. Wang, A. Kreisel, P. J. Hirschfeld, and V. Mishra, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 094504 (2013).
[24] R. Prozorov, M. Kończykowski, M. A. Tanatar, A. Thaler, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, V. Mishra, and P. J. Hirschfeld, Phys. Rev. X **4**, 041032 (2014).
[25] Y. Mizukami, M. Konczykowski, Y. Kawamoto, S. Kurata, S. Kasahara, K. Hashimoto, V. Mishra, A. Kreisel, Y. Wang, P. J. Hirschfeld, Y. Matsuda, and T. Shibauchi, Nature Communications **5**, 5657 (2014).
[26] C. P. Strehlow, M. Kończykowski, J. A. Murphy, S. Teknowijoyo, K. Cho, M. A. Tanatar, T. Kobayashi, S. Miyasaka, S. Tajima, and R. Prozorov, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 020508 (2014).
[27] A. T. Roemer, S. Graser, P. J. Hirschfeld, and B. M. Andersen, Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism **26**, 1729 (2013).
[28] R. M. Fernandes, M. G. Vavilov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 140512 (2012).
[29] H. Yamase, V. Oganesyan, and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 035114 (2005).
[30] H. Zhai, F. Wang, and D.-H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 064517 (2009).
[31] M. Khodas and A. V. Chubukov, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1606.08560 [cond-mat.str-el].

[32] A. F. Ho and A. J. Schofield, EPL **84**, 27007 (2008).
[33] V. Mishra, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 104501 (2015).
[34] Q. Wang, Y. Shen, B. Pan, Y. Hao, M. Ma, F. Zhou, P. Steffens, K. Schmalzl, T. R. Forrest, M. Abdel-Hafiez, X. Chen, D. A. Chareev, A. N. Vasiliev, P. Bourges, Y. Sidis, H. Cao, and J. Zhao, Nature Materials **15**, 159 (2016).
[35] P. J. Hirschfeld, M. M. Korshunov, and I. I. Mazin, Rep. Prog. Phys. **74**, 124508 (2011).
[36] C.-L. Song, Y.-L. Wang, P. Cheng, Y.-P. Jiang, W. Li, T. Zhang, Z. Li, K. He, L. Wang, J.-F. Jia, H.-H. Hung, C. Wu, X. Ma, X. Chen, and Q.-K. Xue, Science **332**, 1410 (2011).
[37] S. Kasahara, T. Watashige, T. Hanaguri, Y. Kohsaka, T. Yamashita, Y. Shimoyama, Y. Mizukami, R. Endo, H. Ikeda, K. Aoyama, T. Terashima, S. Uji, T. Wolf, H. von Löhneysen, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **111**, 16309 (2014).
[38] L. Jiao, C.-L. Huang, S. Rößler, C. Koz, U. K. RößlerR, U. Schwarz, and S. Wirth, arXiv:1605.01908 (2016).
[39] M. Li, N. R. Lee-Hone, S. Chi, R. Liang, W. N. Hardy, D. A. Bonn, E. Girt, and D. M. Broun, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1605.05407 [cond-mat.supr-con].
[40] P. Bourgeois-Hope, S. Chi, D. A. Bonn, R. Liang, W. N. Hardy, T. Wolf, C. Meingast, N. Doiron-Leyraud, and L. Taillefer, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1603.06917.
[41] M. D. Watson, T. K. Kim, A. A. Haghighirad, S. F. Blake, N. R. Davies, M. Hoesch, T. Wolf, and A. I. Coldea, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 121108 (2015).
[42] W.-K. Wang, Y. Liu, J.-Y. Yang, H.-F. Du, W. Ning, L.-S. Ling, W. Tong, Z. Qu, Z.-R. Yang, M.-L. Tian, and Y.-H. Zhang, Chinese Physics Letters **33**, 057401 (2016).
[43] L. Wang, F. Hardy, T. Wolf, P. Adelmann, R. Fromknecht, P. Schweiss, and C. Meingast, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1607.01288 [cond-mat.supr-con].